Connecticut Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice

Executive Summary

Contact Information

Erin Kemple **Executive Director** 221 Main Street Hartford, CT 06106 860-247-4400, ext. 723 erin@ctfairhousing.org www.ctfairhousing.org





Erin Boggs presented an overview of the AI process

What it means to affirmatively further fair housing

Who has to affirmatively further fair housing

What must be included in an AI

Agenda for Today

- Who lives in Connecticut
- Where do people live
- What is the effect of current housing patterns
- Action steps to change how Connecticut looks

Demographics of Connecticut Connecticut is a racially diverse state and its diversity is growing

Connecticut Population Percentage by Race and Ethnicity Over Time

Year	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Non- Hispanic Other	Total People of Color
1980	88.0%	6.9%	4.0%	1.1%	12.0%
1990	83.8%	7.9%	6.5%	1.8%	16.2%
2000	77.5%	8.7%	9.4%	4.4%	22.5%
2010	71.2%	9.4%	13.4%	6.0%	28.8%
2020	66.5%	9.5%	16.5%	7.5%	33.5%
2030	61.0%	9.7%	20.3%	9.0%	39.0%

Why are Connecticut's Populations of Color Growing

More families with children

Fertility Rates by Race and Ethnicity Over Time

Connecticut	1990 Total Fertility Rate (per female)	2010 Total Fertility Rate (per female)
All	1.85	1.71
White Non-Hispanic	1.51	1.59
Black Non-Hispanic	2.08	1.82
Asian Non-Hispanic	1.76	1.72
Hispanic	2.59	2.06

Why are Connecticut's Populations of Color Growing

More families with children

Foreign-born populations are growing

	Largest Foreign-Born Populations						
Rank in 2010	Birth Place	Foreign-Born	Percent of Statewide Population in 2010	Percent of Statewide Population in 1980			
1	Europe	138,166	3.9%	5.5%			
	Non-European Total	331,014	9.2%	3.1%			
2	Asia	102,431	2.9%	0.6%			
3	South America	72,478	2.0%	0.3%			
4	Caribbean	70,498	2.0%	0.6%			
5	Other Region	36,511	1.0%	1.5%			
6	Central America (excluding Mexico)	25,142	0.7%	.07%			
7	Mexico	23,954	0.7%	.02%			
	Total Foreign-Born	469,180	13.1%	8.6%			

Why are Connecticut's Populations of Color Growing

- More families with children
- Foreign-born populations are growing
- White population is aging while populations of color are younger

Median Age by Race and Ethnicity									
	Median Age in 2010	•			Percentage of Age Group's Population in 2010				
		Age 0 to 17	Age 18 to 24	Age 25 to 64	Age 65 and Over	Age 0 to 17	Age 18 to 24	Age 25 to 64	Age 65 and Over
Connecticut	40.0	22.9%	9.1%	53.8%	14.2%				
Non-Hispanic White	44.6	19.6%	8.1%	54.9%	17.4%	61.2%	62.9%	72.7%	87.5%
Non-Hispanic Black	33.3	26.6%	11.8%	52.8%	8.8%	10.9%	12.1%	9.2%	5.8%
Non-Hispanic Asian	32.7	25.1%	9.4%	59.6%	5.9%	4.1%	3.9%	4.2%	1.6%
Hispanic	27.4	33.4%	12.5%	49.5%	4.6%	19.6%	18.3%	12.3%	4.3%

Demographics of Other Protected Classes

Disability, Familial Status, Lawful Source of Income

Disability

In 2010 the population of people with disabilities in Connecticut comprised 10.3% of the state's total population.

% of Age Group (Total Population)	Hearing Difficulty	Vision Difficulty	Cognitive Difficulty	Ambulatory Difficulty	Self Care Difficulty	Independent Living Difficulty
Age 0-17	0.4%	0.6%	3.3%	0.6%	0.8%	NA
Age 18-64	1.4%	1.0%	3.5%	4.0%	1.2%	2.7%
Age 65+	14.0%	5.4%	8.1%	19.8%	7.5%	14.4%

Familial Status

- In 2010, 22.8% of the population of Connecticut was comprised of families with children.
- Single-parent families comprised 30% of families with children in Connecticut.
- People of color are disproportionately represented among single-parent families.

Single-Parent Families by Race/Ethnicity

	Percentage of Single-Parent Families By Race/Ethnicity	Number of Single-Parent Families within Race/Ethnicity
СТ		142,845
White (non-Hispanic)	47.9%	68,470
Black (non-Hispanic)	21.7%	31,016
Hispanic	26.1%	37,306
Asian (non-Hispanic)	1.7%	2,379

Lawful Source of Income

 HCV program assists people of color and single parent households at rates that are disproportionate to their percentage of the overall population of the state

Lawful Source of Income

Housing Choice Voucher Demographics						
Demographic Group	Percentage of State's Population	Percentage of HCV Participating Households				
People of Color	29%	78%				
Single Parent Households	10.4%	53% (female headed)				
People with Disabilities	10.3	36%				

Lawful Source of Income

- 27% of Connecticut households eligible for HCV or RAP in 2010
- 6.6% growth in population eligible for HCV or RAP between 1999 and 2010
- -1.9% growth in HCV since 2000
- 81% growth in RAP since 2003

Income, Poverty, and Membership in the Protected Classes Connecticut and Income Inequality

- Connecticut has the second greatest income inequality in the country
- Black families earn 55% and Hispanic families earn 44% of what White families earn
- Single-parent female-headed households earn 30%, and single-parent male-headed households earn 44%, of what two-parent households earn

Connecticut and Income Inequality

Women earn 78% of what men earn

- People with disabilities earn 67.7% of what people without disabilities earn
- 95% of people using HCVs earn less than 50% of median income

Race, Ethnicity and Poverty Rates



Family Status and Income



Poverty Rates and Single Parent Families

Race/Ethnicity	Percent in Poverty	Single- Parent Families in Poverty	Total Single- Parent Families
White (non- Hispanic)	15.5%	10,781	69,417
Black (includes Hispanics)	35.7%	10,814	30,280
Asian (includes Hispanics)	14.6%	608	4,154
Hispanic	40.8%	16,331	39,980

Disability and Income

- People with disabilities (PWD) earn 67.7% of what people without disabilities earn
- 17.5% of PWD live in poverty
- 21.2% of PWD are unemployed
- 4.8% of adult population receives Social Security disability benefits

People with Disability by Age and Poverty Status



- Disabled & Below 100% Poverty (Age 0-17)
- Disabled & Below 100% Poverty (Age 18 to 64)
- Disabled & Below 100% Poverty (Age 65 and Over)
- Disabled & Above 100% Poverty (All Age Groups)

Poverty and Housing Voucher Holders

Income Range as a Percentage of Median Income	Income Range in Dollars (assumes a family of 4)	Percenta ge of HCV Holders	Number of Voucher Holders
Below 30% of Median	Below \$30,591.90	78%	23,959
30% to 50% of Median	\$30,591.91 to \$50,986.50	17%	5,079
50% to 80% of Median	50,986.51 to \$81,578.4	3%	801
Over 80% of Median	82,578.41	0%	0

Segregation Across all Protected Classes

Connecticut's Population is Changing, Housing Patterns are Not

Two of every three minorities in Connecticut live in just 15 of the state's 169 towns.



Dissimilarity Index shows high levels of segregation.



Segregation Level Comparison

Hispanic vs. White segregation

- Bridgeport area ranks 8th
- Hartford area ranks 9th
- New Haven area ranks 20th
- New London-Norwich area ranks 72nd

Segregation Level Comparison

- Black vs. White segregation
 - Bridgeport area ranks 18th
 - Hartford area ranks 34th
 - New Haven area ranks at 42nd
 - New London-Norwich area ranks128th

Segregation by Race, Ethnicity and Age



Segregation by Race, Ethnicity and Age






Segregation by Race, Ethnicity and Age



Segregation by Disability

Ten Towns with Highest Percentage of Residents Having a Disability

Town	Population Rank (1 is most populous)		Percent of Town Population that has a Disability		Household Median Income	Income Rank (1 is highest)
		Connecticut			\$67,704	
Windham	45	1.1%	16.5%	4,100	\$40,063	166
New London	38	1.2%	16.4%	4,243	\$43,551	163
New Britain	8	3.1%	15.6%	11,307	\$39,706	167
Waterbury	5	4.6%	15.5%	16,936	\$40,254	165
Hartford	3	4.7%	14.3%	17,480	\$28,970	169
Norwich	24	1.5%	14.0%	5,547	\$52,186	156
Torrington	27	1.3%	13.3%	4,767	\$48,409	161
Meriden	12	2.1%	13.2%	7,863	\$53,873	154
East Haven	33	1.0%	13.1%	3,819	\$59,918	144
Enfield	22	1.4%	13.0%	5,316	\$67,402	125

Segregation by Disability

Ten Towns with Lowest Percentage of Residents Having a Disability

Town	Population Rank (1 is most populous)	Percentage of Statewide Population with a Disability that Lives in Town	Percent of Town Population that has a Disability	Population with a Disability Living in Town	Household Median Income	Income Rank (1 is highest)
Darien	51	0.2%	4.3%	892	\$175,766	3
Ridgefield	46	0.4%	5.4%	1,310	\$132,907	7
New Canaan	55	0.3%	6.0%	1,176	\$179,338	2
New Milford	36	0.5%	6.4%	1,785	\$84,824	62
Westport	42	0.5%	6.8%	1,776	\$150,771	5
Farmington	44	0.5%	7.0%	1,745	\$85,417	59
Simsbury	48	0.4%	7.0%	1,628	\$113,224	15
Cheshire	32	0.5%	7.0%	1,793	\$107,936	22
Mansfield	41	0.5%	7.0%	1,776	\$65,839	127
Greenwich	10	1.2%	7.5%	4,568	\$124,958	10

Segregation by Single-Parent Status

Percentage of Households that are Single-Parent





Segregation by Housing Voucher Holders









Segregation by RAP Holders









Minority population is 30% or higher

Segregation Statistics

- 85% of RAP recipients and 83% of HCV holders are living in areas that are disproportionately minority compared to the state as a whole
- 75% of RAP recipients and 79% of HCV holders are in areas that have high poverty

Multi-Family Affordable Housing

Net Change in Multi-Family Housing Units, 2002 to 2011

- Gained 1,000 or More
- Gained 500 to 999
- Gained 100 to 499
- Gained 1 to 99
- No Change
- Lost 1 to 99
- Lost 100 to 499
- Lost 500 or More



Low Income Housing Tax Credit

Program



LIHTC by Race, Poverty and RCAP (by tract)					
Demographic	Total	% Units in Disproportionately % Units in High Poverty		% Units in	
Served	Units	Minority Areas	Areas	RCAP	
All	20,018	73%	73%	40%	
Family	13,560	76%	76%	37%	
Elderly	4,740	58%	55%	36%	
Supportive	734	96%	96%	63%	

Federal Public Housing

	Federal Family Public Housing	Federal Elderly Public Housing
Disproportionately Minority Tracts	86%	69%
Disproportionately High Poverty Tracts	89%	76%
RCAP Tracts	42%	31%

Impact of Segregation

Access to Opportunity

Where you live affects every aspect of your life

- Access to educational opportunities
- Access to economic opportunities
- Access to high quality neighborhoods, e.g. decent, safe housing, open space, fresh food, low crime, access to public transportation

Opportunity and People of Color

Neighborhood Type	% African American	% Asian	% Latino	% Whites
Low and Very Low Opportunity	81.10%	44.08%	79.26%	25.84%
Moderate Opportunity	9.20%	18.72%	9.42%	23.66%
High and Very Opportunity	9.70%	37.19%	11.32%	50.50%

Opportunity and People of Color



Segregation and Access to Jobs



Segregation and Education

Poverty, race, ethnicity, and poor educational achievement linked



Segregation and Education

Connecticut ranks very low for the proximity of government subsidized housing to low performing schools.

Program	Hartford MSA Ranking Out of 100 (1 = best)	New Haven MSA Ranking Out of 100 (1 = best)
Tenant-Based Housing Choice Voucher	99	97
Project-Based Section 8	84	97
Public Housing	69	65
Low Income Housing Tax Credit	73	82

Segregation and Health



Segregation and Lead Paint

Number of Children with High Concentrations of Lead in Blood (≥5/dL) 100 and Above
50 to 99
25 to 49
1 to 24









Impediments to Fair Housing

Impediments to Fair Housing

- Lack of affordable housing in a variety of locations
- Majority minority areas are also areas of concentrated poverty

 Not enough coordination needed between federal, state and local actors to promote integration and AFFH

Impediments to Fair Housing

 Access to housing is limited by housing discrimination and ignorance of the fair housing laws

Action Steps to Overcome Impediments

Affordable Housing in a Variety of Locations

- Continue funding affordable housing in a variety of locations
- Facilitate development of a variety of affordable housing tenures and sizes in a variety of locations
- Continue to fund affordable homeownership opportunities

Affordable Housing in a Variety of Locations

 Continue to assist homeowners in foreclosure in an effort to preserve existing housing and stabilize neighborhoods

Expand access to accessible and supportive housing

Affordable Housing in a Variety of Locations

- Work with municipalities and create incentives that address barriers that prevent the development of affordable housing
- Use transportation resources to assist in making areas available to everyone

Invest in High Poverty Areas

- Promote mixed-income housing in areas of concentrated poverty to desegregate these areas
- Continue to preserve existing affordable housing and promote transition to mixedincome housing

Continue to assist homeowners in foreclosure

Invest in High Poverty Areas

- Coordinate housing and economic development to create job opportunities in high-poverty areas
- Use transportation resources to assist in making areas available to everyone

Coordinate Efforts of Federal, State, and Local Actors

- Expand work with state and municipal officials to educate them on now to AFFH
- Ensure State planning documents coordinate to AFFH and promote integration
- Evaluate work of federal, state, and local agencies to assess efforts to promote integration

Ensure Everyone Has Access to the Housing of Their Choice

- Educate municipalities and provide support to overcome local barriers to affordable housing
- Continue to fund efforts to fight housing discrimination by public and private entities
- Expand education of the general public and housing providers on the fair housing laws